	Case 3.15-cv-00767-31 Document of Fried 09/19/10 Page 1 0/2
	FILED
1	SEP 19 2016
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	TORIAND GERMAINE HUDSON SE. CASE NO: CV-15-00787SI
6	PLAINTIFF,
7	V. SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL
8	PICHMOND POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al., # 175,000 00
9	DEFENDANTS.
10	
11	
12	I AM ENTITLES TO A JURY TRIAL
13	TO PROVE MY CASE UNDER COL. CIV. CODE
14	\$ 52.1. AFTER FURTHER RESEARCH I
15	HAVE BEEN ADVISED TO SETTLE FOR THE
16	Sum OF 175 000 THAT'S THE \$25,00000
17	
18	KEGARDING THE OFFICERS WHO HIT ME, A
19	SPLIT SECOND JUDGEMENT WAS NOT REDURED, AND
20	MATERIAL ISSUES OF FACT EXIST AS TO WHETER
21	THE DITHER OFFICERS ON THE SCENE HAD THE
22	OPPORTUNITY TO PREVENT OFFICERS ANTHONY DIAZ,
23	MIKE BROWN, IAN REID, AND MATT STONEBRAKERS
24	USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE
25	AS IN SAUNDERS V. DILKE (2014, CA 11 FLZ)
26	MY HEAD WAS SLAMMED AGAINST THE
27	PAVEMENT WITH EXTREME FORCE WHEN I
28	HAD BEEN HANDCUFFED AND WAS LYING IN
	$C_{ij}$

(1)

1	
2	PRONE POSITION ON THE GROUND. THE FORCE
3	USED WAS UNNECESSARY, DISPROPORTIONATE,
4	AND CONSTITUTIONALLY EXCESSIVE. THE INJURIES.
5	LACGLATIONS, INJURIES TO TEETH AND JAW,
6	DAMAGES TO EARDROM AND EMOTIONAL DIS-
7	TRESS WERE NOT DE MINIMIS.
8	THE AMOUNT OF FORCE USED AGAINST
9	ME WAS INAPPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIR-
10	CUMSTANCES, INFLICTED DISCHENABLE INJURY,
11	AND WAS APPLIED MALICIOUSLY AND SADISTICALL
12	FOR THE VERY PURPOSE OF CAUSING ME HARM
13	THE EVIDENCE ALSO SHOWS THAT THE
14	OFFICERS USED VARIOUS TYPES OF FORCE ON
15	ME WHILE IT WAS NOT RESISTING, WAS IN
16	HANDCUFFS AND WAS ON MY STOMACH. WALTON
17	V. GOMEZ (IN RE ESTATE OF BOOKER) (2014, CA 10 COLD)
18	745 F. 3d 405
19	
20	
21	DATED: RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
22	SEPTEMBER 13TH 2016 TORIANO G. HUDSON SR.
23	Torino Hudson
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	COPIES TO DEFENDANTS : COURTS
	(2)

(2)